

Section c.) Remarks.

This reply is in response to the Office Action dated December 11, 2003.

The Examiner objected to the disclosure for the reason that the drawing numeral 38 in Figure 1 did not appear in the specification. However, it does in fact appear in the specification in the last line of paragraph [0051]. Accordingly, the Examiner is requested to withdraw this objection.

The Examiner indicated that Claims 4-11 would be allowed if rewritten in independent form. Therefore, Claim 4 has been cancelled, and the subject matter of Claim 4 has been added to Claim 1. Claims 5-11 have been amended to depend from Claim 1 rather than Claim 4. Original Claims 2 and 3 have not been amended, but should also be allowable as they depend from allowed Claim 1 as amended.

In view of the above, the Examiner is requested to withdraw the rejections and pass the case to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

DOW CORNING CORPORATION



Jim L. De Cesare, Reg. No. 27979  
Telephone (989) 496-4235